PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTEL CORPORATION				
Applicant		(
PCT/US00/28422	12 October 2000 (12.10.20	000)	09 December 1999 (09.12.1999)	
International application No.	International filing date (da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
42390.P7933PCT		IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
Applicant's or agent's file reference				
LOS ANGELES		Date of Mailing (day/month/year	26 SEP 2002	
B	LAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR 3	ZAFMAN	(PCT Rule 71.1)	
To: MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLO: 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025	R PAFMANIE IV SEP 3 0 2002	INTE	PCT CATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF ERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT	
From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINING AUTHORITY	NO DOCKETING REQUIRED		

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Box PCT

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

Richard Ellis

Telephone No. 703-305-3900

James R. Matthews

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 42390.P7933PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US00/28422	12 October 2000 (12.10.20		09 December 1999 (09.12.1999)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): G06F 15/16 and US C1.: 712/235 Applicant					
INTEL CORPORATION	A44_044				
This international preliming Examining Authority and	nary examination report hat is transmitted to the application	as been prepared by cant according to Ar	this International Preliminary ticle 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of $\frac{3}{2}$ sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
These annexes consist of a total of $\underline{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
I Basis of the rep	ort				
II Priority					
III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of	nity of invention				
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report		
08 June 2001 (08.06.2001)		03 September 2002 (03.09.2002)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks		Authorized officer	0		
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		Richard Ellis	James R. Matthews		
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Telephone No. 703-3	005-9690		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US00/28422	

I.	Basi	is of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-46 as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand
	<u> </u>	pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
ĺ		pages 47-53 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1-23 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
2	Witl	pages NONE, filed with the letter of h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the
	lang	uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Thes	se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	Witl inter	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the regard preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
		international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
		has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/ fig NONE
5.	П	
		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
tritis	геро	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in rt as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US00/28422

	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a) citations and explanations supporting st	(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive stack statement	ep or industrial applicability;
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-36 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-36 Claims NONE VES Claims NONE VES Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE VES Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.	1. STATEMENT		
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE NO Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims NONE NO Claims Claims NONE NO Claims Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims Claims NONE NO Claims NO Claims NONE NO Claims NO Claims	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-36	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-36 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.			
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-36 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims 1-36	VES
Claims NONE NO 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.			
Claims NONE 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-36	VES
Claims 1-36 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a system containing a state machine which provides a multi-bit output, each bit of the multi-bit output indicating a respective status of an associated thread of multiple threads, detecting a change is status for a thread executing within the processor, and configuring a functional unit within the multithreaded processor in accordance with the multi-bit output of the state machine.	•		